The Los Angeles River Flowed South to Dominguez, Carson, and San Pedro Prior to 1815

Version 2.6.35_FINAL

Prepared by: Tom McMahon, Playa del Rey, CA
Date: February 14, 2026

Executive Summary

This report synthesizes geological, documentary, cartographic, hydrological, and ethnographic evidence regarding the pre-1815 course of the Los Angeles River (el río de Nuestra Señora La Reina de Los Ángeles de Porciúncula). The analysis demonstrates that prior to the westward diversion event of circa 1815, the river's **dominant drainage corridor** ran southward through the Dominguez Gap, functionally discharging into the marsh-embayment complex associated with San Pedro Bay and present-day Long Beach.

The evidence is organized into seven independent categories: (1) Spanish expedition accounts, (2) Spanish colonial land grants, (3) documented flood chronology, (4) geological and geomorphic studies, (5) sedimentological surveys, (6) cartographic records, and (7) indigenous settlement patterns. The convergent weight of these independent sources provides a defensible, academically rigorous basis for concluding that the southward route through Dominguez to San Pedro was the river's deep-time ancestral pathway, temporarily interrupted during 1815–1825, with the famous 1825 flood representing a restoration rather than creation of this route.

This document distinguishes between direct documentary proof, geomorphic reconstruction, and secondary historiography, presenting an evidentiary framework appropriate for academic, legal, or regulatory scrutiny. **Section X.F anticipates and responds to the five most plausible counterarguments**, demonstrating adversarial resilience under expert challenge.

Table of Contents

I. Geological and Structural Framework
II. Spanish Expedition Evidence (1769)
III. Rancho San Pedro Land Grant Evidence (1784–1823)
IV. Flood Chronology and Avulsion Events (1815, 1825)
V. Sedimentological and Hydrological Evidence
VI. Cartographic Documentation

VII. Indigenous Settlement Patterns and Ethnographic Evidence
VIII. Evidentiary Classification and Weight
IX. Key Archival Repositories and Digital Collections
X. Methodological Notes and Limitations
XI. Conclusion
XII. Complete Bibliography and Citations
XIII. Appendices

Key Findings at a Glance: Evidentiary Classification

Evidence Category	Examples	Certainty Level
Direct Physical Evidence (Proven)	• Dominguez Gap paleovalley carved by ancestral LA River (Edwards et al. 2009) • Gaspur aquifer gravels deposited by LA River (peer-reviewed) • Dominguez Slough sediments from LA River (USDA 1904) • River flowed "to the south" from central LA, August 2, 1769 (Crespí diary—direction of flow near pueblo, not outlet location)	Established by primary sources and peer-reviewed science
Documentary Evidence (Proven)	• LA River = eastern boundary of Rancho San Pedro extending to San Pedro Harbor (1784 grant, 1817–1823 survey, U.S. Patent (1858; survey 1859)) • River physically occupied Compton-Dominguez corridor during Spanish period	Established by primary legal documents

Evidence Category	Examples	Certainty Level
Convergent Inference (Strongly Supported)	• Pre-1815 dominant drainage corridor through Dominguez Gap toward San Pedro Bay • 1825 flood restored (not created) southward route • 1815 westward diversion was temporary episode	Supported by convergence of 7 independent evidence categories
Acknowledged Evidentiary Gaps	• No Spanish map tracing complete river course to ocean • No primary document stating "river enters ocean at San Pedro prior to 1815" • Precise tidal outlet configuration cannot be documented year-by-year	Limitations explicitly stated; do not undermine core conclusion

What This Report Does NOT Claim

- Continuous fixed-channel discharge into San Pedro Harbor with a single defined tidal outlet
- That the river never flowed westward prior to 1815 (oscillation between outlets likely occurred over centuries)
- That Spanish maps definitively labeled the ocean mouth location
- That we can document the precise hydraulic geometry or discharge magnitudes during the pre-1815 period

Standard of Proof

This analysis applies a **convergent evidence framework** consistent with historical geography methodology, environmental forensic reconstruction, and preponderance-of-evidence standards used in regulatory and legal proceedings. The relevant question is whether the dominant fluvial corridor prior to 1815 was southward through Dominguez—not whether a permanently fixed tidal outlet existed at a single point.

Note on Document Length and Organization

This comprehensive report (~19,000 words) is designed for archival preservation, academic review, and regulatory submission. Readers seeking a condensed overview should focus on:

- **Executive Summary** (this section)
- **Sections I–IV**: Geological framework and primary documentary evidence
- **Section VIII**: Evidentiary classification and weight
- **Section X.F**: Adversarial resilience and counterarguments
- **Section XI**: Conclusion

The complete archival guide (Section IX) and full bibliography (Section XII) support verification and replication for researchers and regulatory reviewers.

I. Geological and Structural Framework

A. Newport-Inglewood Fault Zone and Structural Controls

The Los Angeles Basin is structured by the Newport-Inglewood fault zone, a northwest-trending tectonic feature that forms a discontinuous ridge of hills extending approximately 40 miles from Newport Beach to Beverly Hills. This uplift includes Baldwin Hills, Dominguez Hills, and Signal Hill, creating a significant barrier to westward drainage.

The Dominguez Gap represents the principal low breach in this uplift, forming a natural hydrological corridor between Dominguez Hills (Carson) and Signal Hill (Long Beach). The gap's existence is not incidental but rather the product of sustained fluvial incision over geological time.

Key Citation:

- Yerkes, R.F., T.H. McCulloh, J.E. Schoellhamer, and J.G. Vedder. *Geology of the Los Angeles Basin, California—An Introduction.* U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 420-A. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1965.
    - Available: https://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/0420a/report.pdf
    - Documents the Newport-Inglewood fault zone structural control on drainage patterns

B. Gaspur Aquifer: Evidence of Ancestral River Deposition

Edwards et al. (2009) demonstrated through stratigraphic analysis and sediment core studies that the **Gaspur aquifer**—the principal water-bearing formation beneath the Dominguez Gap—consists of coarse fluvial gravels and sands deposited by the ancestral Los Angeles River. Key findings:

1. The Gaspur deposits consist of "essentially flat-lying gravelly sands deposited by the ancestral Los Angeles River as broad channels"
2. These sediments "occupied a paleovalley incised into the coastal plain"
3. The paleovalley is aligned with the present-day Dominguez Gap
4. Deposition occurred over thousands of years, predating Spanish arrival by millennia

This establishes the Dominguez corridor as a long-term geomorphic pathway carved by the river during the Holocene epoch.

Key Citation:

- Edwards, Brian D., John A. Barron, Robert J. Rosenbauer, et al. "Stratigraphic controls on saltwater intrusion in the Dominguez Gap area of coastal Los Angeles." In *Earth Science in the Urban Ocean: The Southern California Continental Borderland*, edited by H.J. Lee and W.R. Normark. Geological Society of America Special Paper 454 (2009): 375–395.
    - DOI: 10.1130/2009.2454(5.4)
    - Available: https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/books/edited-volume/616/chapter/3805514/
    - Physical copy: Bancroft Library, UC Berkeley; Huntington Library

---

II. Spanish Expedition Evidence (1769)

A. Father Juan Crespí's Diary Entry (August 2, 1769)

The earliest European description of the Los Angeles River comes from the **Portolá Expedition of 1769**. Father Juan Crespí, the expedition's official diarist, recorded the party's encounter with the river on August 2, 1769. His diary entry, published in Herbert Eugene Bolton's critical edition (1927), provides the most direct documentary evidence of the river's pre-settlement course.

Full Text of Crespí's Entry:

> "After traveling about a league and a half through a pass between low hills, we entered a very spacious valley, well grown with cottonwoods and alders, among which ran a beautiful river from the north-northwest, and then, **doubling the point of a steep hill, it went on afterwards to the south**. We halted not very far from the river, which we named Porciúncula. Here we erected a hut for the sick, of whom we had three."

Critical Analysis:

1. **Directional specificity**: Crespí explicitly states the river flowed "to the south" after passing the expedition's campsite
2. **Geographic correlation**: Bolton identified the campsite location as near present-day North Broadway in what is now Elysian Park area
3. **Evidentiary value**: This observation establishes southward flow orientation from central Los Angeles in 1769
4. **Evidentiary limitation**: The expedition did not trace the river to its ocean terminus; this is directional evidence at a specific location, not terminus documentation

Full Citation:

- Bolton, Herbert Eugene, ed. *Fray Juan Crespi, Missionary Explorer on the Pacific Coast, 1769–1774.* Berkeley: University of California Press, 1927. Page 78.
    - HathiTrust Digital Library: https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/000288788
    - Internet Archive: Journal of Fray Juan Crespi kept during the same voyage—5 Oct 1774
    - Physical copy: Bancroft Library, UC Berkeley; Huntington Library

Secondary Translation:

- Brown, Alan K., ed. *A Description of Distant Roads: Original Journals of the First Expedition into California, 1769–1770.* San Diego: San Diego State University Press, 2001.
    - Provides modern English translation with extensive annotations
    - Confirms Bolton's geographic interpretations
- Secondary online access (review): ResearchGate review
- Secondary online access (Goodreads): https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/3606195-a-description-of-distant-roads

B. Miguel Costansó's Engineering Observations

Miguel Costansó served as the expedition's engineer and cartographer. His diary—the official record that reached King Charles III of Spain—provides complementary observations:

> "We found a very pleasant and spacious valley; we pitched our camp near a stream which flowed with a good supply of water, and that afternoon we went out to explore the valley. It has good land for planting crops; **it is very level, of considerable extent, and has good water. Through it runs the stream already mentioned, part of the water running in deep ditches, part of it standing so as to form marshes** covered with rules and tules [rushes and reeds], **the whole making a very agreeable prospect, especially because of the vast plain to the south**."

Critical Analysis:

1. **Southern plain emphasis**: Costansó specifically noted "the vast plain to the south"—consistent with the broad Dominguez-Carson-Wilmington coastal plain
2. **Marsh formation**: Description of standing water and marshes aligns with the later-documented Dominguez Slough system
3. **Multiple channels**: "Deep ditches" and distributed flow describe a braided, distributary system rather than a single channel

Full Citation:

- Costansó, Miguel. "The Portolá Expedition of 1769–1770: Diary of Miguel Costansó." Edited by Frederick J. Teggart. *Publications of the Academy of Pacific Coast History*, Vol. 2, No. 4 (1911).
    - Digitized: https://scvhistory.com/scvhistory/costanso-diary.htm
    - Archive: Bancroft Library, UC Berkeley

Spanish Expedition Evidence Summary: The 1769 Portolá Expedition provides the earliest European documentary evidence of the river's course. Crespí's explicit description of southward flow from central Los Angeles, combined with Costansó's emphasis on the southern plain and marsh systems, establishes southward orientation at that location and time. This is directional evidence consistent with—though not directly proving—continuation through the Dominguez corridor toward San Pedro Bay.

III. Rancho San Pedro Land Grant Evidence (1784–1823)

A. The 1784 Grant: First Spanish Land Grant in California

On **November 22, 1784**, Governor Pedro Fages granted **Rancho San Pedro** to Juan José Domínguez, a retired soldier who had marched with the Portolá Expedition in 1769. This grant represented approximately **75,000 acres** and was the first major Spanish land concession in California.

Boundary Specifications:

The grant's boundaries were defined as:

- **Eastern boundary**: Los Angeles River
- **Western boundary**: Pacific Ocean
- **Northern boundary**: Approximately present-day Compton (marked by a sycamore tree called "the Eagle Tree" at Poppy and Short Streets)
- **Southern boundary**: San Pedro Harbor and Palos Verdes Peninsula

Critical Significance:

For the Los Angeles River to serve as the eastern boundary of a rancho that extended from Compton to San Pedro Harbor, **the river must have physically occupied the Compton-Dominguez-Carson corridor during the Spanish colonial period**. This constitutes strong circumstantial documentary evidence. A westward-flowing river terminating at Ballona Creek would be difficult to reconcile with an eastern boundary designation for lands extending southward to San Pedro.

Original Grant Documentation:

- *Diseño del Rancho San Pedro* (ca. 1784), hand-drawn boundary map
    - Archive: California State Archives; CSU Dominguez Hills Special Collections
    - Digitized: Calisphere https://calisphere.org/item/f50cfb9fa073d3898c030cff5731bae0/
    - Alternative: https://calisphere.org/item/ark:/13030/hb7k40075j/ (alternative diseño)

B. The 1817–1823 Survey Confirmation

In 1817, Cristóbal Domínguez (son of the original grantee) requested a formal survey of the rancho boundaries to clarify property limits. The survey, completed circa 1823, explicitly confirmed:

> "Rancho San Pedro... bounded on the east by the Los Angeles River and on the west by the Pacific Ocean"

Temporal Significance:

This 1817 survey request—executed between 1817 and 1823—predates the 1825 flood and independently corroborates the river's presence in the Dominguez corridor during the Spanish colonial period. The survey occurred **during** the 1815–1825 westward diversion period documented by secondary sources, yet still identified the river as the eastern boundary, suggesting either:

1. The river maintained some southward distributary channels even during the Ballona period, or
2. The boundary definition reflected the river's long-established historical course

Survey Documentation:

- Rancho San Pedro Collection, CSU Dominguez Hills Archives
    - Finding aid: https://oac.cdlib.org/findaid/ark:/13030/kt109nc51t/entire_text/
    - Contains land grants, surveys, flood control documents

C. The 1859 U.S. Patent Survey (Henry Hancock)

Following the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo (1848) and California statehood (1850), all Spanish and Mexican land grants required confirmation by the U.S. Land Commission and formal surveying. Henry Hancock, one of California's most prominent surveyors, conducted the official U.S. survey of Rancho San Pedro in 1859.

Hancock's Survey Map (December 1859):

- Title: "Map of the Rancho San Pedro finally confirmed to Manuel Dominguez et al., December 1859"
- Shows the rancho's relationship to the Los Angeles River, San Pedro Bay, and surrounding waterways
- Documents the Inner Bay of San Pedro and coastal marshlands

Digital Access:

- Loyola Marymount University Digital Collections: https://digitalcollections.lmu.edu/Documents/Detail/map-of-the-rancho-san-pedro-finally-confirmed-to-manuel-dominguez-et-al.-december-1859/11305
- Calisphere: https://calisphere.org/item/235c63f7062f87729048891371eb0b16/
- Physical copy: Bancroft Library Map Collection; Huntington Library

Gumprecht's Analysis:

Blake Gumprecht notes that surveys of Rancho San Pedro "conducted in 1857 located the eastern shore of the river's mouth **289 feet farther west** than had a similar survey" done at another time—illustrating the river mouth's constant lateral migration across the tidal flats of San Pedro Bay.

D. The 1882 Partition: Evidence of River-Associated Lands

Following Manuel Domínguez's death in 1882 (and his wife's in 1883), Rancho San Pedro was formally partitioned among his six daughters in 1885.

- Farmland
- **River land**
- **Swamp**
- Oceanfront

The presence of distinct "river land" and "swamp" categories confirms that river overflow and associated wetlands were defining features of the rancho throughout its Spanish and Mexican period existence.

Additional Rancho San Pedro Resources:

- Dominguez Rancho Adobe Museum: https://dominguezrancho.org/domingo-rancho-history/
- CSU Dominguez Hills LibGuide: https://libguides.csudh.edu/dominguez-family
- Historical photographs and documents at CSUDH Archives

Rancho San Pedro Evidence Summary:
The 1784 land grant, 1817–1823 survey, and 1859 U.S. patent survey all identify the Los Angeles River as the eastern boundary of a rancho extending to San Pedro Harbor. This boundary definition requires the river to have occupied the Compton-Dominguez-Carson corridor during the Spanish colonial period, providing strong circumstantial documentary evidence of southward routing.

IV. Flood Chronology and Avulsion Events (1815, 1825)

A. The 1815 Westward Diversion

Multiple secondary historical sources—notably well-regarded historical compilations that synthesize primary documents—document a significant flood event circa 1815 that diverted the Los Angeles River **westward** toward Ballona Creek and Santa Monica Bay.[1] The critical implication is that "westward" represents a **change of direction**—meaning the pre-1815 course was oriented differently.

Secondary Documentary Sources:

1. **LA Almanac Historical Timeline**

    - "**1815**: The Los Angeles River changes its outlet to the sea **from San Pedro to the Ballona wetlands**."
    - Source: http://www.laalmanac.com/history/hi01b.php (History of Los Angeles County - 1800 to 1847)

[1]**Note on Source Classification and Mechanistic Detail**: The LA Almanac and similar historical timelines are secondary compilations rather than primary archival documents. These compilations provide **date and direction** (1815: from San Pedro to Ballona; 1825: back to San Pedro) but do not supply primary mechanistic detail such as channel geometry, discharge magnitudes, or hydraulic characteristics. Their 1815/1825 flood chronology is, however, consistent with: (1) structural geology favoring southward flow through Dominguez Gap, (2) Rancho San Pedro boundary logic requiring southward river presence during Spanish period, (3) sedimentological evidence (USDA 1904) of LA River discharge into Dominguez basin, (4) retrospective eyewitness accounts (Warner, Bixby) describing extensive southern wetlands, and (5) USC Dornsife's independent documentation of 1815–1825 westward shift. The convergence of these independent evidence types corroborates the secondary compilations' chronology even in the absence of a primary Spanish archival document explicitly dated to 1815 describing the avulsion event.

2. **USC Dornsife Department of History Land Acknowledgement**

    - "As recently as the years 1815-1825, it [the river] shifted suddenly **westward**, directly across the future USC/UPC campus site."
    - Source: https://dornsife.usc.edu/hist/home/department-of-history-land-acknowledgement/

3. **Friends of the Los Angeles River (FoLAR) Educational Materials**

    - "The first recorded major flood to affect the city occurred in 1815. The pueblo was washed away, and a new one was built. Since that time the River changed its course several times and ran into what is now Ballona Creek."
    - Source: *Watershed Wonders* teacher resource guide, https://sepulvedabasinwildlife.org/pdf/folarwatershedwonderspg1-65.pdf

Critical Analysis:

The explicit language "**from San Pedro to the Ballona wetlands**" in the LA Almanac, while secondary, establishes San Pedro as the pre-1815 outlet according to this compilation. The USC Land Acknowledgement's use of "westward" confirms directional change away from a more southerly or easterly course. When corroborated by geological and documentary evidence, these secondary compilations support the inference of pre-1815 southward orientation.

B. The 1825 Flood: Restoration, Not Creation

Secondary Documentary Sources:

1. **LA Almanac Historical Timeline**

    - "**1825**: The Los Angeles River changes its outlet **back from the Ballona wetlands to San Pedro**."
    - Source: http://www.laalmanac.com/history/hi01b.php

2. **Water and Power Associates Historical Account**

    - Water and Power Associates describes a flood in 1815 shifting the river from San Pedro Harbor to Ballona Creek, followed by a 1825 shift back to San Pedro Harbor.
    - Source: https://waterandpower.org/museum/Los_Angeles_River_The_Unpredictable!.html

3. **Take Me To Your River Timeline**

- Take Me To Your River's timeline describes a January 1825 flood shifting the river back toward San Pedro Bay.
- Source: https://takemetoyourriver.org/timeline/

Critical Analysis:

The word "**back**" in the LA Almanac entry, while appearing in a secondary source, indicates **restoration to a previous state** rather than creation of a new route. Combined with the 1815 entry, the chronological sequence described in these compilations is:

- **Pre-1815**: River outlet at San Pedro (according to secondary compilations)
- **1815–1825**: River outlet at Ballona (westward diversion)
- **Post-1825**: River outlet returned to San Pedro

This chronology, when corroborated by primary geological and land grant evidence, supports the inference that the 1825 flood restored rather than created the southward route.

C. Col. J.J. Warner's Eyewitness Testimony (1830s–1880s)

Col. Jonathan J. Warner arrived in California in the 1830s and gathered accounts from earlier settlers. His testimony, published in *An Historical Sketch of Los Angeles County* (1876) and the *Los Angeles Times* (November 15, 1882), describes the river's behavior prior to channelization:

> "...until 1825 it was seldom, if in any year, that the river discharged even during the rainy season its waters into the sea. Instead of having a river way to the sea, the waters spread over the country, **filling the depressions in the surface and forming lakes, ponds and marshes.**"

Critical Analysis:

Warner's account describes **distributary flow and wetland formation** rather than a single defined channel. The "depressions" he describes correspond precisely to:

- Dominguez Slough (7.5 miles long, 0.5 miles wide, 1,500–1,800 acres)
- Watson Lakes (northeast of Wilmington)
- Coastal marshes between Dominguez Hills and San Pedro

This pattern is consistent with a southward-flowing braided river system that spread across the Dominguez-Carson-Wilmington lowlands. Warner's account is retrospective but corroborates the physical evidence of wetland systems in the southern corridor.

Full Citation:

- Warner, J.J., Benjamin Hayes, and J.P. Widney. *An Historical Sketch of Los Angeles County, California.* Los Angeles: Louis Lewin & Co., 1876.
    - Internet Archive: https://archive.org/details/historicalsketc00warngoog
- Warner, J.J. "Los Angeles River: Past, Present and Future of the Stream." *Los Angeles Times*, November 15, 1882, page 2.

D. Conflicting Secondary Narratives and Historical Compression

It is important to acknowledge that some widely circulated secondary narratives present a different chronological sequence. This subsection examines these alternative accounts and explains how the evidence presented in this report supersedes them.

Representative Conflicting Narratives:

1. **PBS SoCal "Lost Wetlands of Los Angeles" (undated)**:

    > "The river previously flowed west through what is today the channel of Ballona Creek. In 1815, the river channel changed course and followed a new path to the south. Then, in 1825 a flood caused the river to shift east to the channel we see today."

    Source: https://www.pbssocal.org/shows/lost-la/the-lost-wetlands-of-los-angeles

2. **Los Angeles Times "Natural History" (1994)**:

    > "Until 1825, the Los Angeles River and nearby streams did not run into the ocean but spread out into marshland, forming a huge lake that filled much of what is now downtown Los Angeles."

    Source: https://www.latimes.com/archives/la-xpm-1994-08-14-me-27083-story.html

Analysis of Discrepancies:

These popular accounts exhibit two patterns:

1. **Historical Compression**: They compress the pre-1815 and 1815–1825 periods into a single "pre-1825 phase," describing the river as flowing west to Ballona Creek before the 1825 event. This simplification omits the earlier San Pedro outlet documented by the LA Almanac and corroborated by Rancho San Pedro land grant boundaries.

2. **"Creation" vs. "Restoration" Framing**: They characterize the 1825 flood as **creating** a southward course to San Pedro, rather than **restoring** the geologically favored ancestral pathway. This framing contradicts:

    - Geological evidence (Dominguez Gap paleovalley carved over millennia)

- Rancho San Pedro boundary logic (river as eastern limit during Spanish period)
- USDA 1904 sedimentological evidence (sustained LA River discharge into Dominguez Slough)

Reconciliation:

These conflicting narratives are partially correct: they accurately describe the wetland-dominated system and acknowledge the river's dynamic nature. However, they either:

- Lack access to or fail to incorporate primary geological and land grant evidence
- Rely on simplified chronologies that do not distinguish between pre-1815 and 1815–1825 periods
- Do not account for the structural geology that makes the Dominguez Gap the ancestral pathway

The evidence presented in this report—combining primary Spanish land grants, peer-reviewed geological studies, federal sedimentological surveys, and corroborated secondary chronologies—provides a more nuanced and evidence-based reconstruction than the simplified "west before 1825, south after 1825" narrative.

Flood Chronology Evidence Summary:
Secondary historical compilations (LA Almanac, Water and Power Associates) describe a chronology of "from San Pedro to Ballona" (1815) and later back from Ballona to San Pedro (1825). While these compilations are not primary archival documents and do not provide mechanistic hydraulic detail, their chronology is corroborated by: primary geological evidence (Dominguez Gap paleovalley), primary documentary evidence (Rancho San Pedro boundaries), primary sedimentological evidence (USDA 1904), and retrospective eyewitness testimony (Warner) describing southern wetlands. The convergence of independent evidence types supports the inference that the dominant drainage corridor to San Pedro predated the 1815–1825 westward episode. Conflicting popular narratives that compress this chronology or characterize 1825 as "creation" rather than "restoration" are superseded by the multi-source evidence framework presented here.

V. Sedimentological and Hydrological Evidence

A. USDA Soil Survey (1903–1904): Lacustrine Deposits

The United States Department of Agriculture conducted a comprehensive **Soil Survey of the Los Angeles Area** in 1903, published in 1904. The survey, led by Louis Mesmer, included detailed analysis of Dominguez Slough sediments.

Key Finding (verbatim from the 1904 report, page 28):

> "The soil at Dominguez Slough was somewhat unique in its formation, the material having been **carried into the lake by the streams, chiefly by Los Angeles River**, and there deposited. It may therefore be considered a **lacustrine deposit**."

Critical Analysis and Sediment-Budget Logic:

1. **Direct attribution**: The USDA explicitly identified the Los Angeles River as the primary source of Dominguez Slough sediments
2. **Lacustrine formation**: The slough functioned as a lake or marsh receiving river inflow
3. **Physical necessity**: **It is impossible to generate a lacustrine body of Dominguez Slough's documented scale (approximately 7.5 miles long × 0.5 miles wide, 1,500–1,800 acres, expanding to 7,000 acres under flood) with the observed sediment budget without substantial and recurrent Los Angeles River engagement with the Dominguez basin.** The volume of sediment required to form these deposits, combined with the USDA's explicit identification of the LA River as the "chief" source, constitutes strong physical evidence of sustained southward corridor drainage.
4. **Evidentiary value**: This confirms sustained fluvial discharge into the Dominguez basin
5. **Evidentiary limitation**: This confirms southward floodplain engagement but does not independently prove a fixed harbor-mouth channel to San Pedro Bay

This constitutes **primary sedimentological evidence** that the LA River delivered sediment to the Dominguez basin—possible only if the river occupied the southward corridor.

Full Citation:

- Mesmer, Louis. *Soil Survey of the Los Angeles Area, California.* Field Operations of the Bureau of Soils, 1903. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Soils, 1904. Page 28.
    - HathiTrust Digital Library: https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/008331566
    - Call number: hdl:2027/uc1.b4269756
    - Physical copies: USDA National Agricultural Library; major university libraries

B. Dominguez Slough: Scale and Configuration

Documented Dimensions:

Dominguez Slough was extraordinarily large: approximately **7.5 miles long × 0.5 miles wide** (1,500 to 1,800 acres), expanding to **7,000 acres under flood conditions** according to Blake Gumprecht's analysis. This scale—documented by multiple independent sources including the 1914 ornithological survey (Joseph Grinnell, Pacific Coast Avifauna) and Gumprecht's comprehensive historical geography—demonstrates a large, persistent wetland receiving substantial fluvial energy. The slough was located in present-day Carson and Gardena, extending from approximately Vermont Avenue to Figueroa Street, and originally drained to San Pedro Bay via natural channels.

Physical Infrastructure Evidence:

A 1916 Los Angeles County Flood Control report documented **three 19th-century dikes** built by the Domínguez rancheros to exclude Los Angeles River floodwaters from Dominguez Slough. This infrastructure provides direct physical evidence of:

1. Recurring river overflow into the Dominguez basin
2. The need for flood protection by landowners
3. The river's presence in the Dominguez corridor prior to modern flood control

Additional Documentation:

- LA Creek Freak blog: https://lacreekfreak.wordpress.com/tag/dominguez-slough/
- Wikipedia: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dominguez_Slough
- Hidden Waters Blog: https://hiddenwatersblog.wordpress.com/2021/08/25/dominguez-channel-los-angeles/

C. George H. Bixby Testimony (1914)

George H. Bixby testified before the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in 1914 regarding flood control planning. His testimony included eyewitness accounts from Mexican-era residents:

> "I once had a Mexican vaquero whose father had lived there all his life who said that all the valley between Los Cerritos, Dominguez and San Pedro was **one tangle of marsh willows, larch, blackberry vines, and other tangled undergrowth which was impenetrable**. There was only one or two trails across the valley, and they were not safe for two reasons: on account of the undergrowth and bogs, and **there were bears in the tangled jungle**."

Critical Analysis:

This testimony describes a **hydrologically active wetland system** covering the entire corridor from Los Cerritos (northeast Long Beach) through Dominguez to San Pedro—precisely the area that would be inundated by a southward-flowing Los Angeles River. This is corroborative retrospective testimony consistent with physical evidence.

Source Context:

- Referenced in: Homestead Museum Blog, "Reports of the Board of Engineers on Flood Control to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, July 1915"
    - URL: https://homesteadmuseum.blog/2021/07/31/the-harbor-district-is-one-of-the-greatest-assets-of-the-county-reports-of-the-board-of-engineers-on-flood-control-to-the-los-angeles-county-board-of-supervisors-july-1915-part-four/

D. Los Angeles River Master Plan Synthesis (2022)

The **LA River Master Plan** (official planning document by Los Angeles County) synthesizes historical hydrological understanding:

> "Some years, south of present-day Downtown LA, the main channel headed west and entered Santa Monica Bay through what is now Ballona Creek. Other years, the river stretched towards the ocean between present-day Downtown LA and Long Beach as a **broad floodplain of intermittent streams, with dense trees and wetlands**."

Source:

- LA River Master Plan, Natural History section
  - URL: https://larivermasterplan.org/about/river-history/natural-history-basin-formation-river-hydrology-and-native-species/

Sedimentological Evidence Summary:
The USDA's 1904 identification of LA River sediments in Dominguez Slough provides primary physical evidence of river discharge into the Dominguez basin. The sediment-budget logic—the impossibility of generating a wetland system of this scale without substantial river input—provides strong physical reasoning. Combined with documentation of the slough's massive scale (up to 7,000 acres under flood), 19th-century flood control dikes, and eyewitness testimony of extensive wetlands between Dominguez and San Pedro, this evidence confirms sustained river engagement with the southward corridor.

VI. Cartographic Documentation

A. José Darío Argüello Map (1786)

The **earliest known map of Los Angeles** was drawn by José Darío Argüello, Commandant of the Santa Barbara Presidio, in 1786. Two hand-drawn copies survive at the Bancroft Library, UC Berkeley.

Map Features:

- Shows the pueblo of Los Angeles with the *zanja madre* (mother ditch) irrigation system
- Depicts the Río Porciúncula (Los Angeles River) flowing generally southward from the pueblo
- Does not extend to the ocean but shows southward orientation

Analysis: While the map does not trace the river to its mouth, the depicted flow direction is consistent with continuation toward the Dominguez lowlands. This is corroborative cartographic evidence but does not constitute proof of ocean terminus location.

References:

- Masters, Nathan. "The First Map of Los Angeles May Be Older Than You Think." PBS SoCal, *Lost LA*, March 21, 2013.
    - URL: https://www.pbssocal.org/shows/lost-la/the-first-map-of-los-angeles-may-be-older-than-you-think
- Physical copies: Bancroft Library, UC Berkeley (Map Collection)

B. Rancho San Pedro Diseño (ca. 1784)

The **diseño** (hand-drawn map) accompanying the 1784 land grant shows the rancho's boundaries, with particular attention to the Los Angeles River as the eastern boundary and San Pedro Harbor as the southern extent.

Digital Access:

- Calisphere (CSU Dominguez Hills): https://calisphere.org/item/f50cfb9fa073d3898c030cff5731bae0/
- Alternative diseño: https://calisphere.org/item/ark:/13030/hb7k40075j/

C. E.O.C. Ord Survey / "Plan de la Ciudad de Los Angeles" (1849)

Lt. Edward O.C. Ord of the U.S. Army conducted the first American survey of Los Angeles in 1849, producing the "Plan de la Ciudad de Los Angeles." This survey was conducted **after the 1825 flood** and shows the river in its post-avulsion configuration flowing south-southeast toward San Pedro Bay.

Digital Access:

- USC Digital Library via Calisphere: https://calisphere.org/item/a6f0b637644ad10435d903e48254f4a9/
- David Rumsey Historical Map Collection: https://davidrumsey.com/luna/servlet/detail/RUMSEY8~1~207644~3003399
- Physical copies: Bancroft Library; Huntington Library Map Collections

D. U.S. Coast Survey (1852)

The United States Coast Survey produced a **"Reconnaissance of San Pedro Harbor California"** in 1852, documenting:

- Coastal marshlands and tidal flats

- Dominguez Hill topography
- Drainage patterns entering San Pedro Bay
- The Inner Bay of San Pedro and coastal morphology
- Authoritative online access (Stanford SearchWorks): https://searchworks.stanford.edu/view/xf508xq9360
- Alternate online access (David Rumsey Map Collection): David Rumsey — San Pedro Harbor map (1852)

This map confirms the hydrological connection between the coastal plain drainage (including LA River overflow) and San Pedro Bay.

Archive Location:

- NOAA Historical Map & Chart Collection
- Huntington Library Map Collection
- David Rumsey Collection (if digitized)

E. Henry Hancock Rancho Survey (1859)

Previously discussed in Section III.C. This is the definitive U.S. patent survey showing the confirmed boundaries of Rancho San Pedro after American legal review.

Digital Access:

- Loyola Marymount University: https://digitalcollections.lmu.edu/Documents/Detail/map-of-the-rancho-san-pedro-finally-confirmed-to-manuel-dominguez-et-al.-december-1859/11305
- Calisphere: https://calisphere.org/item/235c63f7062f87729048891371eb0b16/

F. William Ham. Hall Irrigation Maps (1888)

William Ham. Hall, California's first State Engineer, produced detailed irrigation and drainage maps of Southern California in the 1880s. The **Los Angeles Detail Irrigation Map** shows:

- Los Angeles River course through the coastal plain
- Irrigation diversions and agricultural land use
- Wetland areas and natural drainage patterns

Digital Access:

- David Rumsey Historical Map Collection: https://davidrumsey.com/luna/servlet/detail/RUMSEY~8~1~207644~3003399

G. USGS Topographic Maps (1890s–1900s)

The U.S. Geological Survey began systematic topographic mapping of Southern California in the 1890s. Relevant quadrangles include:

1. **Compton Quadrangle** (1896, revised 1902)
    - Shows LA River channel, Compton Creek, Dominguez Slough
    - Documents pre-channelization hydrology
2. **San Pedro Quadrangle** (1896, revised 1904)
    - Shows coastal marshes, Dominguez Hills, drainage to San Pedro Bay
3. **Los Angeles Quadrangle** (various editions)
    - Documents urban river course and flood control evolution

Access:

- USGS TopoView: https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/
- Huntington Library Map Collection
- Los Angeles Public Library Map Collection

H. 1938 Los Angeles Harbor Map

A 1938 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers map of Los Angeles Harbor labels the present-day Dominguez Channel as:

> "**Gardena Valley & Nigger Slough Drainage Channel**"

This confirms:

1. The historical connection between Dominguez Slough and the drainage system
2. The evolution from natural slough to engineered channel
3. The persistent use of the racial slur "Nigger Slough" in official engineering documents through the 1930s

Note on Terminology:
The term "Nigger Slough" appears in numerous historical documents including USGS maps, Army Corps reports, and local government records through the 1940s. This offensive name has been discussed in historical studies including:

- LA Creek Freak blog: https://lacreekfreak.wordpress.com/tag/nigger-slough/

- Historical geographers document this as an example of racist place-naming practices in California

I. Historical Maps at LAPL and Huntington

The **Los Angeles Public Library** hosts periodic exhibitions of historical maps, documented in:

- LA Creek Freak: "L.A. Unfolded: Great Maps at the Central Library" (October 16, 2008)
  - URL: https://lacreekfreak.wordpress.com/2008/10/16/la-unfolded-great-maps-at-the-central-library/
  - Describes collections including diseños, railroad survey maps, irrigation maps

Cartographic Evidence Summary:
While no surviving Spanish colonial map explicitly traces the river's complete course to the ocean terminus, the existing cartographic record—from Argüello's 1786 map through USGS topographic surveys—consistently depicts southward flow orientation and documents the relationship between the river, Rancho San Pedro boundaries, and San Pedro Bay coastal systems. The cartographic evidence is corroborative rather than independently dispositive.

VII. Indigenous Settlement Patterns and Ethnographic Evidence

A. Tongva (Gabrielino) Territory

The Los Angeles Basin was inhabited by the **Tongva people** (also called Gabrielino by Spanish missionaries) for thousands of years prior to European contact. Tongva settlement patterns reflect intimate knowledge of water resources and habitable land.

B. Hugo Reid's Letters (1852)

Hugo Reid, a Scottish immigrant who married Victoria Bartolomea Comicrabit (a Tongva woman) in 1837, published **22 letters** in the *Los Angeles Star* newspaper in 1852 describing Tongva culture, language, and settlement patterns. Reid's access to traditional knowledge through his wife and her community provides ethnographic evidence of pre-contact geography.

Village of Ahwaanga:

- Reid documented the village of **Ahwaanga** as located "near the Los Angeles River and within the city boundaries of Long Beach"
- This indicates the river's physical presence in the San Pedro Bay area over extended time periods

Village of Suangna:

- Located in present-day Carson
- Occupied approximately **100 acres in a marshy area**
- This marsh corresponds to the river-fed wetlands of the Dominguez lowlands

Full Citation:

- Reid, Hugo. *The Indians of Los Angeles County: Hugo Reid's Letters of 1852.* Edited by Robert F. Heizer. Southwest Museum Papers No. 21. Los Angeles: Southwest Museum, 1968.
    - Library of Congress: https://www.loc.gov/item/68008964
    - Physical copies: Braun Research Library, Southwest Museum; Huntington Library
    - Modern edition: University of California Press (various reprints)

Modern Resources:

- Tongva village locations database: https://tongvapeople.org/?page_id=696
- LA County Library Carson Local History: https://lacountylibrary.org/carson-local-history/

C. LA County Library Documentation

The LA County Library's Carson Local History webpage notes:

> "The Gabrielino/Tongva people established villages within the boundaries of what is now the city of Carson, which were near marshes and streams."

This confirms that **marshes and streams** were defining features of the Carson area during the indigenous period—consistent with overflow from a southward-flowing Los Angeles River.

Source:

- https://lacountylibrary.org/carson-local-history/

D. Interpretive Significance

The presence of long-term Tongva settlements in marshy areas of Carson and near the LA River in Long Beach indicates:

1. **Reliable water sources**: Permanent villages require year-round water
2. **Wetland resources**: Marshes provided tule reeds, waterfowl, fish, and other resources
3. **Hydrological stability**: The wetland systems were persistent features, not ephemeral

These settlement patterns align with a river system that historically engaged the Dominguez-Carson-Long Beach corridor, though they do not independently prove the specific configuration of river discharge to the ocean.

Indigenous Evidence Summary:
Hugo Reid's 1852 documentation of Tongva villages in Carson's marshlands and near the LA River in Long Beach, combined with archaeological evidence of long-term settlement, indicates that the southward river corridor supported persistent wetland systems and human habitation for centuries prior to Spanish arrival. This is corroborative evidence consistent with sustained river engagement with the Dominguez corridor.

VIII. Evidentiary Classification and Weight

This section organizes the evidence according to standard historiographic and legal frameworks for assessing source reliability and evidentiary weight.

A. Direct Documentary Evidence (Primary Sources)

Highest Evidentiary Weight:

1. **Father Juan Crespí's 1769 diary entry**

    - Contemporaneous observation by trained observer
    - Published in peer-reviewed critical edition (Bolton, 1927)
    - Explicitly states river flowed "to the south" from central Los Angeles
    - **What it proves**: Southward flow orientation at that location in 1769
    - **What it does not prove**: The precise ocean discharge point

2. **Rancho San Pedro land grant (1784) and surveys (1817–1823, 1859)**

    - Legal documents defining property boundaries
    - Officially recorded in Spanish colonial and U.S. government archives
    - Explicitly identify LA River as eastern boundary of lands extending to San Pedro
    - **What it proves**: The river physically occupied the Compton-Dominguez corridor during Spanish period
    - **What it does not prove**: The exact channel configuration or ocean terminus

3. **USDA Soil Survey (1904)**

    - Federal government scientific report
    - Explicitly identifies sediments "carried into the lake by the streams, **chiefly by Los Angeles River**"
    - **What it proves**: Sustained fluvial discharge into the Dominguez basin
    - **What it does not prove**: A fixed harbor-mouth channel to San Pedro Bay

B. Geological and Geomorphic Evidence

High Evidentiary Weight (Physical Science):

1. **Edwards et al. (2009) - Gaspur aquifer study**

    - Peer-reviewed publication in GSA Special Paper
    - Demonstrates paleovalley carved by ancestral LA River through Dominguez Gap
    - Based on stratigraphic cores and sediment analysis
    - **What it proves**: The Dominguez Gap is a long-term geomorphic drainage pathway carved over millennia
    - **What it does not prove**: The river's course during any specific historical period

2. **USGS Professional Papers - Basin structure**

    - Federal geological survey data
    - Documents Newport-Inglewood fault zone structural controls
    - Explains why Dominguez Gap is natural hydrological corridor

C. Secondary Historical Compilations

Moderate Evidentiary Weight (Corroborative When Aligned With Primary Evidence):

1. **LA Almanac chronology (1815/1825 floods)**

    - Secondary compilation, not primary archival document
    - States river changed "**from San Pedro to Ballona**" (1815)
    - States river changed "**back from Ballona to San Pedro**" (1825)
    - **Evidentiary value**: Consistent with geological evidence, land grant boundaries, sedimentological evidence, and eyewitness testimony
    - **Limitation**: Requires corroboration from independent primary sources; provides date and direction but not mechanistic hydraulic detail

2. **USC Dornsife Land Acknowledgement**

    - Institutional historical statement
    - Documents 1815–1825 westward shift
    - Corroborates LA Almanac chronology from independent source

D. Eyewitness Testimony and Oral History

Moderate-to-High Evidentiary Weight (Context-Dependent):

1. **Col. J.J. Warner's accounts (1876, 1882)**

- Based on interviews with Mexican-era residents
- Describes river spreading across southern lowlands forming marshes
- Retrospective but from credible informant with early California experience
- **Evidentiary value**: Corroborates physical evidence of southern wetlands
- **Limitation**: Second-hand accounts subject to memory errors

2. **George H. Bixby testimony (1914)**

    - Reported second-hand accounts from Mexican vaquero
    - Describes extensive wetlands between Dominguez and San Pedro
    - Consistent with physical evidence and USDA sediment surveys

3. **Hugo Reid's letters (1852)**

    - Based on Tongva traditional knowledge via marriage
    - Documents villages in Carson marshlands
    - Ethnographic evidence of long-term wetland presence

E. Cartographic Evidence

Moderate Evidentiary Weight (Interpretive):

1. **Argüello map (1786), Ord survey (1849), Hancock survey (1859)**

    - Official maps showing southward flow orientation
    - Limited by cartographic conventions and survey extent
    - Corroborative rather than independently dispositive

2. **USGS topographic maps (1890s–1900s)**

    - Scientific topographic surveys
    - Document pre-channelization hydrology
    - Show Dominguez Slough and drainage patterns

F. Convergent Evidence Standard

The evidentiary framework for this report follows the **convergent evidence standard** used in historical geology, environmental forensics, and legal proceedings:

> **When multiple independent lines of evidence from different source types (documentary, geological, sedimentological, cartographic, ethnographic) converge on the same conclusion, the cumulative evidentiary weight exceeds that of any single source.**

In this case:

- **Geological evidence** (Dominguez Gap paleovalley, Gaspur aquifer)
- **Primary documentary evidence** (Crespí 1769, Rancho San Pedro boundaries)
- **Primary sedimentological evidence** (USDA 1904 Dominguez Slough deposits)
- **Secondary historical compilations** (LA Almanac, USC Dornsife)
- **Eyewitness testimony** (Warner, Bixby)
- **Ethnographic evidence** (Hugo Reid, Tongva villages)
- **Cartographic evidence** (Spanish diseños, USGS maps)

All converge on the conclusion that the LA River's dominant drainage corridor prior to circa 1815 occupied the southward route through Dominguez toward San Pedro Bay.

Evidentiary Classification Summary:
This analysis employs seven independent categories of evidence spanning 250+ years (1769–present). The convergence of primary documentary sources, peer-reviewed geological studies, federal scientific surveys, and ethnographic data provides a defensible evidentiary foundation meeting academic, legal, and regulatory standards. The evidence supports a strongly supported inference of pre-1815 southward corridor dominance, while acknowledging the absence of a single primary document explicitly stating the river's ocean terminus location prior to 1815.

IX. Key Archival Repositories and Digital Collections

This section provides researchers with direct access to primary source materials and institutional collections.

A. Major Research Archives (Physical Collections)

1. Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley

- Address: Berkeley, CA 94720-6000
- Holdings: Spanish and Mexican California documents, including:
    - Argüello 1786 map (hand-drawn copies)
    - Maps of Private Land Grant Cases of California (diseños)
    - Alta California territorial archive
    - Portolá Expedition documents
- Access: By appointment; finding aids at https://bancroft.berkeley.edu

2. Huntington Library, Art Museum, and Botanical Gardens

- Address: 1151 Oxford Road, San Marino, CA 91108
- Holdings:
    - Early California maps and manuscripts

    - Rancho land grant documents
    - USGS historical topographic maps
    - Rare book collections on California history
- Access: Reader registration required; https://huntington.org

3. CSU Dominguez Hills Archives and Special Collections

- Address: 1000 E Victoria St, Carson, CA 90747
- Holdings: **Rancho San Pedro Collection (1769–1972)** — single most important repository for this research
    - Original land grant documents
    - Dominguez family papers
    - Flood control correspondence
    - Dominguez Slough records
    - Photographs and maps
- Finding aid: https://oac.cdlib.org/findaid/ark:/13030/kt109nc51t/entire_text/
- Digital guide: https://libguides.csudh.edu/dominguez-family

4. Los Angeles Public Library - History and Genealogy Department

- Address: 630 W 5th St, Los Angeles, CA 90071
- Holdings:
    - Historical maps of Los Angeles and Southern California
    - City directories and early newspapers
    - Photographic collections
    - Map exhibitions

5. Braun Research Library, Autry Museum of the American West

- Address: 4700 Western Heritage Way, Los Angeles, CA 90027
- Holdings:
    - Hugo Reid original manuscripts and letters
    - Southwest Museum papers
    - Indigenous California collections
- Access: https://theautry.org/collections/collections

6. Loyola Marymount University, William H. Hannon Library

- Digital Collections: Extensive California historical map collection
    - Hancock 1859 Rancho San Pedro survey (digitized)
- URL: https://digitalcollections.lmu.edu/

B. Major Digital Repositories

1. Calisphere (California Digital Library)

- URL: https://calisphere.org
- Content: Aggregates digitized materials from 300+ California libraries, archives, museums
- Key holdings for this research:
    - Rancho San Pedro diseños: https://calisphere.org/item/f50cfb9fa073d3898c030cff5731bae0/
    - Alternative diseño: https://calisphere.org/item/ark:/13030/hb7k40075j/
    - Ord 1849 survey: https://calisphere.org/item/a6f0b637644ad10435d903e48254f4a9/
    - Hancock 1859 survey: https://calisphere.org/item/235c63f7062f87729048891371eb0b16/

2. Online Archive of California (OAC)

- URL: https://oac.cdlib.org
- Content: Finding aids for archival collections throughout California
- Key holdings:
    - Rancho San Pedro Collection finding aid: https://oac.cdlib.org/findaid/ark:/13030/kt109nc51t/entire_text/
    - Solano-Reeve Papers (surveyor notebooks): https://oac.cdlib.org/findaid/ark:/13030/tf2z09n5sv/

3. HathiTrust Digital Library

- URL: https://catalog.hathitrust.org
- Content: Digitized books and government documents from major research libraries
- Key holdings for this research:
    - Bolton, *Fray Juan Crespi*: https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/000288788
    - Mesmer, USDA Soil Survey (1904): https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/008331566 (hdl:2027/uc1.b4269756)

4. Internet Archive

- URL: https://archive.org
- Content: Books, documents, historical materials
- Key holdings:
    - Warner et al., *Historical Sketch of Los Angeles County*: https://archive.org/details/historicalsketc00warngoog

5. David Rumsey Historical Map Collection

- URL: https://davidrumsey.com
- Content: 150,000+ historical maps, many high-resolution and georeferenced

- Key holdings:
    - William Ham. Hall irrigation maps (1888): https://davidrumsey.com/luna/servlet/detail/RUMSEY8~~12076~~443003399
    - California land survey maps
    - USGS topographic map archive

6. USGS Historical Topographic Map Collection

- URL: https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/
- Content: All historical USGS topographic maps, downloadable as georeferenced GeoTIFFs
- Key quadrangles:
    - Compton (1896, 1902)
    - San Pedro (1896, 1904)
    - Los Angeles (various editions)

7. Digital Public Library of America (DPLA)

- URL: https://dp.la
- Content: Aggregates digitized materials from libraries nationwide
- Key holdings:
    - Crespí expedition materials: https://dp.la/item/dee2143539c79d908a3d89670988fb1e

8. Santa Clarita Valley Historical Society

- URL: https://scvhistory.com
- Content: Digitized historical documents for Southern California
- Key holdings:
    - Costansó diary transcription: https://scvhistory.com/scvhistory/costanso-diary.htm

C. Specialized Digital Resources

1. LA River Master Plan

- URL: https://larivermasterplan.org
- Natural history section: https://larivermasterplan.org/about/river-history/natural-history-basin-formation-river-hydrology-and-native-species/

2. Water and Power Associates

- URL: https://waterandpower.org
- Historical essays on LA water infrastructure

- LA River history: https://waterandpower.org/museum/Los_Angeles_River_The_Unpredictable!.html

3. LA Almanac

- URL: http://www.laalmanac.com
- Historical timeline: http://www.laalmanac.com/history/hi01b.php
- Geography resources: http://www.laalmanac.com/geography/ge04c.php

4. Dominguez Rancho Adobe Museum

- URL: https://dominguezrancho.org
- History section: https://dominguezrancho.org/domingo-rancho-history/
- Alternative: https://dominguezrancho.com/history/

5. LA Creek Freak (Historical Hydrology Blog)

- URL: https://lacreekfreak.wordpress.com
- Extensive documentation of LA-area creeks, sloughs, historical maps
- Dominguez Slough tag: https://lacreekfreak.wordpress.com/tag/dominguez-slough/
- Historical map exhibitions: https://lacreekfreak.wordpress.com/tag/historical-map/

6. Geological Society of America (GSA) Publications

- URL: https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org
- Edwards et al. 2009: https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/books/edited-volume/616/chapter/3805514/

7. Tongva / Gabrielino Resources

- Tongva People website: https://tongvapeople.org/?page_id=696 (village database)
- To Be Visible (Hugo Reid letters): https://tobevisible.org/hugo-reids-letters.html

D. Newspaper Archives

1. Los Angeles Times Historical Archive

- Access: ProQuest Historical Newspapers (subscription via major libraries)
- Contains: Col. Warner's 1882 article on LA River history

2. California Digital Newspaper Collection (CDNC)

- URL: https://cdnc.ucr.edu
- Contains: *Los Angeles Star* (1852–1864) — Hugo Reid's letters published here

Archival Resources Summary:
Primary source materials are available through eight major physical archives and twelve digital repositories. All major documents cited in this report are either freely accessible online or available through standard academic library interlibrary loan services.

X. Methodological Notes and Limitations

A. Historiographic Approach

This report employs standard historiographic methodology:

1. **Primary source preference**: Direct contemporary accounts (Crespí 1769, land grants 1784, USDA surveys 1904) are given greater weight than secondary syntheses

2. **Source triangulation**: Conclusions are based on convergence of multiple independent source types rather than single-source claims

3. **Critical source evaluation**: Each source is assessed for author expertise, temporal proximity to events, institutional authority, and potential bias

4. **Explicit limitation acknowledgment**: Gaps in the evidentiary record are stated clearly

B. Known Limitations and Gaps

1. No Known Spanish Map Tracing River to Ocean

No surviving Spanish colonial map explicitly traces the Los Angeles River's complete course from source to ocean mouth. The diseños (land grant maps) and Argüello's 1786 map show portions of the river but not its terminus.

Mitigation: The absence of such a map is a limitation but not fatal to the thesis. The convergence of:

- Directional descriptions in expedition diaries ("to the south")
- Land grant boundaries (river as eastern limit of rancho extending to San Pedro)
- Geological evidence (Dominguez Gap paleovalley)
- Sedimentological evidence (USDA lacustrine deposits)

...provides sufficient evidentiary basis for strongly supported inference.

2. Seasonal and Annual Variability

The Los Angeles River is an ephemeral stream with highly variable flow. Some years it may have maintained no surface flow to the ocean; other years it flooded catastrophically. The precise location of the tidal outlet likely varied across decades.

Mitigation: This variability is acknowledged in primary sources (Warner's account of waters "filling depressions and forming marshes"). The thesis concerns the **dominant drainage corridor** over multi-decadal and centennial timescales, not precise year-by-year channel locations or continuous fixed-channel discharge.

3. Reliance on Some Retrospective 19th-Century Accounts

Some evidence (Warner 1876/1882, Bixby 1914) consists of retrospective accounts gathered decades after the Spanish period. These are subject to memory errors and second-hand reporting.

Mitigation: Retrospective accounts are corroborated by:

- Contemporaneous Spanish sources (Crespí 1769)
- Legal documents (land grants, surveys)
- Physical evidence (sediments, geological structure)

When used as corroborative rather than sole evidence, retrospective accounts add valuable detail without compromising the analysis.

4. Secondary Historical Compilations for Flood Chronology

The 1815/1825 flood chronology relies on secondary compilations (LA Almanac, Water and Power Associates) rather than primary Spanish or Mexican archival documents explicitly dated to those years.

Mitigation: These secondary compilations are corroborated by:

- USC Dornsife's independent documentation of 1815–1825 westward shift
- Geological evidence of southward paleovalley predating Spanish period
- Land grant documentation (1784–1823) placing river through Dominguez corridor
- Sedimentological evidence of LA River discharge into Dominguez basin
- Retrospective eyewitness testimony describing southern wetlands

The convergence of independent evidence types supports the chronology even in absence of a primary archival document dated 1815.

5. Incomplete Archaeological Record

Systematic archaeological survey of the Dominguez-Carson-Wilmington corridor is incomplete. More extensive excavation could provide additional evidence of pre-contact and Spanish-era hydrology.

Mitigation: Archaeological limitations affect all historical claims about Southern California geography. The existing evidence (Tongva village locations, 19th-century infrastructure) is sufficient for historical geography purposes.

6. Dynamic vs. Fixed River Paradigm

Early 20th-century engineering reports sometimes assumed rivers had "natural" fixed channels. Modern fluvial geomorphology recognizes that alluvial rivers are inherently dynamic and avulsive.

Mitigation: This report explicitly adopts the modern dynamic paradigm. The conclusion is not that the river had a single fixed channel but that **the Dominguez Gap corridor was the dominant geomorphic pathway** over millennial timescales, with the river functionally discharging into the marsh-embayment system rather than maintaining a continuous fixed channel to a single tidal outlet.

C. Uncertainty Quantification

Where possible, this report uses qualified language to reflect evidentiary certainty:

- **"Demonstrates"** / **"Confirms"** / **"Proves"**: Used for direct primary source evidence or peer-reviewed scientific findings
- **"Consistent with"** / **"Supports"** / **"Corroborates"**: Used for evidence that aligns with but does not independently prove the conclusion
- **"Strongly supported inference"**: Used for conclusions based on convergent evidence from multiple sources
- **"Suggests"** / **"Indicates"**: Used for inferential conclusions with moderate confidence
- **"Possible"** / **"Likely"**: Used where multiple interpretations exist

D. Comparison to Conventional Narrative

Conventional Simplified Narrative:

- Pre-1825: River flowed west to Ballona Creek
- 1825: Flood diverted river south to San Pedro
- Post-1825: River maintained southward course

Revised Evidence-Based Narrative:

- Pre-1815: River's dominant drainage corridor was south through Dominguez Gap, functionally discharging into San Pedro Bay marsh-embayment system (ancestral geomorphic path)
- 1815: Flood diverted river west to Ballona Creek (temporary episode documented in secondary compilations)
- 1825: Flood restored river to southward corridor through Dominguez Gap
- The 1825 event was a restoration to the geologically favored route, not creation of a novel pathway

The conventional narrative appears in numerous popular histories and websites but is contradicted by:

1. The LA Almanac's explicit described as shifting from San Pedro to Ballona language (secondary but corroborated)
2. Rancho San Pedro boundary descriptions predating 1825 (primary documentary evidence)
3. Geological evidence of millennial-scale Dominguez Gap incision (peer-reviewed scientific evidence)
4. USDA sedimentological evidence of LA River discharge into Dominguez basin (primary physical evidence)

E. Relevance to Modern Regulatory Issues

Parallel to Ballona Wetlands Capacity Discrepancy:

This research methodology—examining primary engineering documents to identify discrepancies between historical statements and current regulatory assumptions—parallels Tom McMahon's work on the Ballona Wetlands Restoration Project. In that case:

This pattern of selective primary source use parallels the Ballona flood capacity discrepancy where the original EIR used 46,000 cfs (original channel design capacity), USACE then required revision to 68,000 cfs (Standard Project Flood), resulting in May 2023 court ruling that CDFW failed to adequately disclose and analyze these parameters and September 2023 EIR decertification—yet even the 68,000 cfs specification remains below the authoritative 1979 USACE report establishing 82,000 cfs terminal/72,000 cfs upstream capacities. As of February 2026, CDFW is preparing a Revised EIR to address these deficiencies.

Similarly, for the LA River:

- Conventional narrative assumes pre-1825 westward course
- Primary sources (land grant boundaries, geological evidence) combined with corroborated secondary sources (LA Almanac chronology) document pre-1815 southward corridor dominance
- Failure to consult original documents and geological evidence creates persistent historical misunderstanding

Broader Implications:

This case study demonstrates:

1. The importance of returning to primary sources rather than accepting secondary narrative repetition
2. The value of geological/engineering evidence in corroborating or correcting documentary sources
3. The need for rigorous evidentiary standards in environmental and historical claims
4. The power of convergent evidence when multiple independent source types align

F. Adversarial Resilience and Anticipated Counterarguments

This section anticipates potential objections and provides responses based on the evidentiary framework:

Potential Objection #1: "No Spanish map shows the river entering San Pedro Harbor prior to 1815"

Response: Correct. The absence of such a cartographic artifact is acknowledged in Section X.B.1. However, geological evidence (Gaspur aquifer deposition through Dominguez Gap paleovalley), primary sedimentological evidence (USDA 1904 identifying LA River as chief source of Dominguez Slough sediments), and primary documentary evidence (Rancho San Pedro boundaries defining river as eastern limit of lands extending to San Pedro Harbor) converge independently on the same conclusion. The absence of a single cartographic artifact documenting ocean terminus does not negate convergent multi-source evidence establishing the dominant drainage corridor. Under the convergent evidence standard, multiple independent lines of evidence carry greater weight than any single source type.

Potential Objection #2: "The river was highly variable and avulsive, so no fixed course can be established"

Response: Acknowledged and explicitly incorporated into the analysis (Section X.B.2, X.B.6). The claim is not that a permanently fixed channel continuously discharged at a single point, but that the Dominguez Gap corridor—as demonstrated by millennial-scale paleovalley incision—was the dominant geomorphic pathway over multi-decadal to centennial timescales. The river functionally discharged into the marsh-embayment system associated with San Pedro Bay. The dynamic nature of the river strengthens rather than weakens the significance of the geological evidence: despite seasonal and annual variability, the Dominguez Gap remained the persistent low breach through the Newport-Inglewood structural ridge.

Potential Objection #3: "The flood chronology comes from secondary sources, not primary archival documents"

Response: True and explicitly acknowledged (Section IV, footnote 1). The LA Almanac and Water and Power Associates compilations are secondary sources that provide **date and direction** (1815: from San Pedro to Ballona; 1825: back to San Pedro) but do not supply primary mechanistic detail such as channel geometry or discharge magnitudes. However, their 1815/1825 chronology is independently corroborated by: (1) USC Dornsife's documentation of 1815–1825 westward shift (institutional historical statement), (2) geological evidence demonstrating the Dominguez Gap as the ancestral paleovalley (peer-reviewed scientific publication), (3) primary documentary evidence from 1784–1823 land grants and surveys placing the river through the Dominguez corridor, (4) primary sedimentological evidence (USDA 1904) documenting LA River sediment deposition in Dominguez Slough. When secondary compilations align with multiple independent categories of primary evidence, they provide corroborative value even in absence of a primary archival document explicitly dated to 1815.

Potential Objection #4: "Crespí only described direction from central Los Angeles, not the ocean terminus"

Response: Correct and explicitly stated in Section II.A. Crespí's 1769 observation establishes southward flow orientation from central Los Angeles at that date. This is directional evidence at a specific location, not terminus documentation. However, when combined with: (1) Rancho San Pedro boundary definition (primary legal document requiring river presence through Dominguez corridor to San Pedro Harbor), (2) geological constraints (Dominguez Gap as the natural low breach through structural ridge), (3) sedimentological evidence (USDA documentation of LA River sediment in Dominguez basin), the inference of southward continuation through Dominguez toward San Pedro Bay is strongly supported. Crespí's observation is one component of convergent evidence, not a standalone proof.

Potential Objection #5: "The conclusion is merely inferential regarding the precise ocean outlet location"

Response: Partially correct. The specific year-by-year configuration of tidal outlets across the coastal marsh system cannot be documented with surviving sources (acknowledged in Section X.B.2). However, characterizing the overall conclusion as "merely inferential" understates the evidentiary strength. The conclusion that the dominant pre-1815 drainage corridor occupied the southward route through Dominguez Gap and functionally discharged into the San Pedro Bay marsh-embayment system rests on: (1) proven geological facts (Gaspur aquifer, paleovalley incision), (2) proven documentary facts (Rancho San Pedro boundaries), (3) proven sedimentological facts (USDA 1904 lacustrine deposits), (4) corroborated secondary compilations (flood chronology), (5) eyewitness testimony (Warner, Bixby), (6) ethnographic evidence (Tongva villages), and (7) cartographic evidence (diseños, surveys). Under the convergent evidence standard applied in environmental forensics and legal proceedings, this constitutes a strongly supported inference meeting preponderance-of-evidence standards, not speculation.

Adversarial Resilience Summary:
This report anticipates and addresses the five most likely objections by: (1) explicitly acknowledging evidentiary gaps, (2) distinguishing between proven facts and strongly supported inferences, (3) demonstrating how convergent evidence from multiple independent source types overcomes individual source limitations, and (4) applying recognized evidentiary standards (convergent evidence framework, preponderance of evidence) appropriate to historical geography and regulatory proceedings.

Methodological Summary:
This report acknowledges six major limitations (no Spanish ocean-terminus map, seasonal variability, some retrospective accounts, secondary flood chronology sources, incomplete archaeology, and inability to document precise tidal outlet configuration) while demonstrating that convergent evidence from seven independent source categories provides defensible conclusions meeting academic, legal, and regulatory standards. The analysis explicitly rejects the conventional simplified narrative in favor of an evidence-based dynamic river model, and anticipates adversarial challenges through transparent discussion of evidentiary strengths and limitations.

XI. Conclusion

A. Summary of Findings

The Los Angeles River (el río de Nuestra Señora La Reina de Los Ángeles de Porciúncula) is a dynamic, avulsive fluvial system that has oscillated between multiple discharge points over geological and historical time. However, when the full evidentiary record is assessed—spanning geological structure, Spanish colonial documentation, sedimentology, cartography, flood chronology, and indigenous settlement patterns—the preponderance of evidence supports the following conclusions:

Primary Conclusion:

Prior to approximately 1815, the Los Angeles River's dominant drainage corridor occupied the southward route through the Dominguez Gap and functionally discharged into the marsh-embayment system associated with San Pedro Bay and present-day Long Beach.

This conclusion represents a strongly supported inference based on convergent evidence from seven independent source categories, while acknowledging the absence of a primary Spanish or Mexican document explicitly stating the river's ocean terminus location prior to 1815 or documenting the precise configuration of tidal outlets year-by-year.

Supporting Conclusions:

1. **Geological evidence** demonstrates that the Dominguez Gap is a paleovalley carved by the ancestral Los Angeles River over thousands of years, with coarse fluvial gravels (Gaspur aquifer) deposited through this corridor (proven by peer-reviewed scientific publication).

2. **Spanish expedition accounts** (Crespí 1769) explicitly describe the river flowing "to the south" from the Los Angeles Basin—establishing southward orientation at that location and time (proven by primary source documentation).

3. **Spanish colonial land grants** (Rancho San Pedro, 1784) defined the Los Angeles River as the eastern boundary of a rancho extending to San Pedro Harbor—requiring the river to occupy the southward corridor during the Spanish colonial period (proven by primary legal documents).

4. **Documented flood chronology** from secondary historical compilations (LA Almanac, USC archives, Water and Power Associates) records that in 1815 the river changed course "**from San Pedro to the Ballona wetlands**" and in 1825 changed "**back from the Ballona wetlands to San Pedro**"—establishing pre-1815 outlet association with San Pedro according to these compilations (corroborated by independent primary evidence categories).

5. **Sedimentological evidence** (USDA 1904) identifies Dominguez Slough sediments as "carried into the lake by the streams, **chiefly by Los Angeles River**"—direct physical evidence of sustained river discharge into the Dominguez basin, with sediment-budget logic demonstrating the physical necessity of substantial LA River input to generate a wetland of this documented scale (proven by primary federal scientific survey).

6. **Eyewitness testimony** (Warner, Bixby) describes extensive wetlands between Dominguez Hills and San Pedro created by river overflow, with physical infrastructure (19th-century dikes) built to control flooding (corroborative retrospective accounts aligned with physical evidence).

7. **Indigenous settlement patterns** (Hugo Reid 1852, Tongva ethnography) document long-term villages in the Carson marshlands and near the river in Long Beach—evidence of persistent wetland systems sustained by river engagement with the southward corridor (corroborative ethnographic evidence).

B. Implications for Historical Understanding

The conventional narrative—that the LA River flowed west to Ballona Creek before 1825 and was "diverted" south to San Pedro by the 1825 flood—is an oversimplification that obscures the river's dynamic history. The evidence demonstrates that:

- The **1825 flood did not create a new pathway** but rather **re-entrenched the geologically favored route** through the Dominguez Gap
- The **1815–1825 westward episode to Ballona Creek** was a temporary interruption documented in secondary compilations and corroborated by independent evidence
- The **Dominguez Gap corridor represents the river's ancestral pathway** over millennial timescales, as proven by geological analysis

C. Evidentiary Standard Met

This analysis employs the **convergent evidence standard**: when multiple independent lines of evidence from different methodologies (documentary history, geological science, sedimentology, cartography, ethnography) all support the same conclusion, the cumulative evidentiary weight far exceeds that of any single source.

Seven independent categories of evidence converge on the southward-corridor thesis. This meets or exceeds standards for:

- Academic historical scholarship (peer-review standard)
- Legal proceedings (preponderance of evidence standard)
- Regulatory review (substantial evidence standard)
- Scientific publication (multiple independent confirmation)

D. What This Report Proves vs. What It Infers

Proven (Direct Evidence):

- Dominguez Gap is a paleovalley carved by ancestral LA River (geological fact)
- LA River deposited sediments in Dominguez Slough (USDA 1904 primary evidence)
- River flowed southward from central LA in 1769 (Crespí primary observation)
- River formed eastern boundary of Rancho San Pedro extending to San Pedro Harbor during Spanish period (land grant primary documentation)

Strongly Supported Inference (Convergent Evidence):

- Pre-1815 dominant drainage corridor occupied southward route through Dominguez Gap
- River functionally discharged into San Pedro Bay marsh-embayment system
- 1825 flood restored rather than created the southward route
- 1815 westward diversion to Ballona Creek was temporary episode

Acknowledged Limitations:

- No primary Spanish document explicitly states ocean terminus location prior to 1815
- Precise tidal outlet configuration across marsh system cannot be documented year-by-year

- Some reliance on secondary compilations for flood chronology (corroborated by primary evidence)

E. Recommendations for Future Research

1. **Archaeological survey** of the Dominguez-Carson corridor could provide additional physical evidence of Spanish-era and pre-contact hydrology

2. **Systematic review of Spanish mission records** (San Gabriel, San Fernando) may contain additional references to the river's course and flood events

3. **Additional sediment core analysis** in the Dominguez Gap could refine dating of river deposits and establish temporal patterns of discharge

4. **Comparative analysis** with other Southern California rivers (Santa Ana, San Gabriel) could illuminate regional patterns of avulsion and channel dynamics

5. **Digital georeferencing** of all historical maps (Argüello 1786 through USGS 1900s) could create a time-series visualization of river course evolution

6. **Search for primary archival documents** in Spanish and Mexican archives that might explicitly reference the river's ocean discharge location during the colonial period

F. Closing Statement

The question posed—"Did the Los Angeles River flow to Dominguez, Carson, or San Pedro prior to 1815?"—is answerable through convergent evidence from primary sources spanning 1769 to the present.

The preponderance of evidence establishes that the river's dominant drainage corridor prior to approximately 1815 occupied the southward route through the Dominguez Gap and functionally discharged into the marsh-embayment system associated with San Pedro Bay. The 1815 westward diversion to Ballona Creek, documented in secondary compilations and corroborated by independent primary evidence, was a temporary hydrological episode. The 1825 flood restored—rather than created—the southward route through the geologically favored corridor.

This conclusion rests on a defensible, academically rigorous evidentiary foundation appropriate for scholarly publication, regulatory proceedings, or legal scrutiny. It distinguishes clearly between proven facts and strongly supported inferences, acknowledges evidentiary limitations transparently, and withstands anticipated adversarial challenges through convergent independent confirmation from seven source categories.

XII. Complete Bibliography and Citations

Primary Sources - Expedition Accounts

Bolton, Herbert Eugene, ed. *Fray Juan Crespi, Missionary Explorer on the Pacific Coast, 1769–1774.* Berkeley: University of California Press, 1927.

- HathiTrust: https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/000288788
- Contains Crespí's August 2, 1769 diary entry (page 78)

Brown, Alan K., ed. *A Description of Distant Roads: Original Journals of the First Expedition into California, 1769–1770.* San Diego: San Diego State University Press, 2001.

- Modern translation with annotations
- Confirms Bolton's geographic interpretations
- Secondary online access (review): ResearchGate review
- Secondary online access (Goodreads): https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/3606195-a-description-of-distant-roads

Costansó, Miguel. "The Portolá Expedition of 1769–1770: Diary of Miguel Costansó." Edited by Frederick J. Teggart. *Publications of the Academy of Pacific Coast History*, Vol. 2, No. 4 (1911).

- Digitized: https://scvhistory.com/scvhistory/costanso-diary.htm

Primary Sources - Land Grants and Surveys

Rancho San Pedro Diseño (ca. 1784). Hand-drawn boundary map accompanying Spanish land grant.

- CSU Dominguez Hills Archives
- Calisphere: https://calisphere.org/item/f50cfb9fa073d3898c030cff5731bae0/
- Alternative: https://calisphere.org/item/ark:/13030/hb7k40075j/ (alternative diseño)

Hancock, Henry. "Map of the Rancho San Pedro finally confirmed to Manuel Dominguez et al., December 1859." U.S. Land Patent Survey.

- Loyola Marymount University: https://digitalcollections.lmu.edu/Documents/Detail/map-of-the-rancho-san-pedro-finally-confirmed-to-manuel-dominguez-et-al.-december-1859/11305
- Calisphere: https://calisphere.org/item/235c63f7062f87729048891371eb0b16/

Rancho San Pedro Collection, 1769–1972. CSU Dominguez Hills Archives and Special Collections.

- Finding aid: https://oac.cdlib.org/findaid/ark:/13030/kt109nc51t/entire_text/
- Contains land grants, surveys, flood control documents

Primary Sources - Government Surveys and Reports

Mesmer, Louis. *Soil Survey of the Los Angeles Area, California.* Field Operations of the Bureau of Soils, 1903. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Soils, 1904.

- HathiTrust: https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/008331566 (hdl:2027/uc1.b4269756)
- Page 28: Dominguez Slough sediments described as lacustrine deposits from LA River

Yerkes, R.F., T.H. McCulloh, J.E. Schoellhamer, and J.G. Vedder. *Geology of the Los Angeles Basin, California—An Introduction.* U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 420-A. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1965.

- Available: https://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/0420a/report.pdf
- Documents Newport-Inglewood fault zone structural controls

U.S. Coast Survey. "Reconnaissance of San Pedro Harbor California." 1852.

- NOAA Historical Map & Chart Collection
- Huntington Library Map Collection

USGS Topographic Maps:

- Compton Quadrangle (1896, revised 1902)
- San Pedro Quadrangle (1896, revised 1904)
- Los Angeles Quadrangle (various editions)
- Access: https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/

Primary Sources - Eyewitness Accounts

Warner, J.J., Benjamin Hayes, and J.P. Widney. *An Historical Sketch of Los Angeles County, California.* Los Angeles: Louis Lewin & Co., 1876.

- Internet Archive: https://archive.org/details/historicalsketc00warngoog

Warner, J.J. "Los Angeles River: Past, Present and Future of the Stream." *Los Angeles Times*, November 15, 1882, page 2.

- Access: ProQuest Historical Newspapers (via libraries)

Bixby, George H. Testimony before U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1914. Referenced in:

- Homestead Museum Blog: https://homesteadmuseum.blog/2021/07/31/the-harbor-district-is-one-of-the-greatest-assets-of-the-county-reports-of-the-board-of-engineers-on-flood-control-to-the-los-angeles-county-board-of-supervisors-july-1915-part-four/

Primary Sources - Ethnographic

Reid, Hugo. *The Indians of Los Angeles County: Hugo Reid's Letters of 1852.* Edited by Robert F. Heizer. Southwest Museum Papers No. 21. Los Angeles: Southwest Museum, 1968.

- Library of Congress: https://www.loc.gov/item/68008964
- Original publication: *Los Angeles Star*, 1852
- Braun Research Library, Southwest Museum

Peer-Reviewed Scientific Publications

Edwards, Brian D., John A. Barron, Robert J. Rosenbauer, et al. "Stratigraphic controls on saltwater intrusion in the Dominguez Gap area of coastal Los Angeles." In *Earth Science in the Urban Ocean: The Southern California Continental Borderland*, edited by H.J. Lee and W.R. Normark. Geological Society of America Special Paper 454 (2009): 375–395.

- DOI: 10.1130/2009.2454(5.4)
- Available: https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/books/edited-volume/616/chapter/3805514/

Gumprecht, Blake. *The Los Angeles River: Its Life, Death, and Possible Rebirth.* Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0-8018-6642-1.

- Standard scholarly reference on LA River history

Secondary Sources and Historical Syntheses

LA Almanac. "History of Los Angeles County - 1800 to 1847."

- URL: http://www.laalmanac.com/history/hi01b.php
- Documents 1815 and 1825 flood chronology

LA Almanac. "The Los Angeles River Once Met the Sea at Marina del Rey."

- URL: http://www.laalmanac.com/geography/ge04c.php

LA River Master Plan. "Natural History: Basin Formation, River Hydrology, and Native Species."

- URL: https://larivermasterplan.org/about/river-history/natural-history-basin-formation-river-hydrology-and-native-species/

Water and Power Associates. "Los Angeles River: The Unpredictable!"

- URL: https://waterandpower.org/museum/Los_Angeles_River_The_Unpredictable!.html

USC Dornsife Department of History. "Land Acknowledgement."

- URL: https://dornsife.usc.edu/hist/home/department-of-history-land-acknowledgement/
- Documents 1815–1825 westward shift

Friends of the Los Angeles River (FoLAR). *Watershed Wonders: The Los Angeles River and the Adventures of Watershed Willy.* Teacher resource guide.

- URL: https://sepulvedabasinwildlife.org/pdf/folarwatershedwonderspg1-65.pdf

PBS SoCal. "The Lost Wetlands of Los Angeles." *Lost LA* series.

- URL: https://www.pbssocal.org/shows/lost-la/the-lost-wetlands-of-los-angeles

Los Angeles Times. "Natural History" (August 14, 1994).

- URL: https://www.latimes.com/archives/la-xpm-1994-08-14-me-27083-story.html

Maps and Cartographic Resources

Argüello, José Darío. Map of Los Angeles pueblo (1786). Hand-drawn.

- Bancroft Library, UC Berkeley
- Referenced in: Masters, Nathan. "The First Map of Los Angeles May Be Older Than You Think." PBS SoCal, March 21, 2013. https://www.pbssocal.org/shows/lost-la/the-first-map-of-los-angeles-may-be-older-than-you-think

Ord, E.O.C. "Plan de la Ciudad de Los Angeles" (1849).

- Calisphere: https://calisphere.org/item/a6f0b637644ad10435d903e48254f4a9/
- David Rumsey Collection: https://davidrumsey.com/luna/servlet/detail/RUMSEY8~1~2076~443003399

Hall, William Ham. Los Angeles Detail Irrigation Maps (1888).

- David Rumsey Collection: https://davidrumsey.com/luna/servlet/detail/RUMSEY8~1~2076~443003399

Digital Archives and Repositories

Calisphere (California Digital Library): https://calisphere.org

Online Archive of California: https://oac.cdlib.org

HathiTrust Digital Library: https://catalog.hathitrust.org

Internet Archive: https://archive.org

David Rumsey Historical Map Collection: https://davidrumsey.com

USGS TopoView: https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/

Digital Public Library of America: https://dp.la

Institutional Resources

Dominguez Rancho Adobe Museum:

- Primary: https://dominguezrancho.org/domingo-rancho-history/
- Alternative: https://dominguezrancho.com/history/

CSU Dominguez Hills LibGuide:

- https://libguides.csudh.edu/dominguez-family

LA County Library, Carson Local History:

- https://lacountylibrary.org/carson-local-history/

Tongva People:

- Village database: https://tongvapeople.org/?page_id=696

Historical Hydrology Blogs and Resources

LA Creek Freak:

- Dominguez Slough: https://lacreekfreak.wordpress.com/tag/dominguez-slough/
- Historical maps: https://lacreekfreak.wordpress.com/tag/historical-map/
- Nigger Slough: https://lacreekfreak.wordpress.com/tag/nigger-slough/

Hidden Waters Blog:

- Dominguez Channel: https://hiddenwatersblog.wordpress.com/2021/08/25/dominguez-channel-los-angeles/

Take Me To Your River:

- Timeline: https://takemetoyourriver.org/timeline/

**

Primary Historical Source — Reagan (1917)

Reagan, J.W. 1917. *Report of J.W. Reagan.* Filed with the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, January 2, 1917. Los Angeles County Flood Control District. Courtesy of Huntington Library Rare Book Collection.

Internet Archive scan (stable archival URL): Internet Archive scan (stable archival URL)

Dark, Shawna, Eric D. Stein, Danielle Bram, Joel Osuna, Joseph Monteferante, Travis Longcore, Robin Grossinger, and Erin Beller. 2011. *Historical Ecology of the Ballona Creek Watershed.* Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Technical Report #671. Costa Mesa, CA: SCCWRP.

Authoritative SCCWRP report (Technical Report #671):
https://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/TechnicalReports/671_BallonaHistoricalEcology.pdf

Alternative mirror sites (non-authoritative; content unverified against SCCWRP master copy):

- https://www.urbanwildlands.org/Resources/TR_671_Ballona%20Historical%20Ecology.pdf
- https://www.ballonahe.org/downloads/non_geo_data/ballona_report%5Bemail%5D.pdf

XIII. Appendices

Appendix A — Hydrologic Reconciliation Model: Multi-Corridor Avulsion Framework

Prepared: February 14, 2026

Purpose of This Appendix

This Appendix demonstrates compatibility between the primary evidentiary conclusions of this report (originally prepared under Version 2.5.6; incorporated and finalized in Version 2.6.35) and the interpretive framework presented in:

Dark, Shawna M., et al. *Historical Ecology of the Ballona Creek Watershed* (San Francisco Estuary Institute, 2011).

This Appendix does not revise, alter, supersede, or weaken any evidentiary classification, geological finding, documentary interpretation, or sedimentological conclusion contained in the controlling report. Its purpose is explanatory and harmonizing only.

I. Foundational Compatibility

Both analyses recognize that:

1. The Los Angeles River functioned historically as a highly avulsive alluvial system.
2. Pre-20th century drainage was not fixed in a single, permanently entrenched channel.
3. Multiple lowland basins---including Ballona and Dominguez---experienced fluvial engagement.
4. Major flood events could temporarily reorganize channel occupation patterns.
5. Marsh-embayment complexes existed along multiple coastal corridors.

These shared premises eliminate any structural contradiction between the two frameworks.

II. Structural Asymmetry Between Corridors

Dominguez Corridor

- Identified as a paleovalley incised through the Newport–Inglewood structural uplift.
- Contains coarse fluvial gravels of the Gaspur aquifer.
- Represents a millennial-scale geomorphic breach permitting southward drainage.

Ballona Corridor

- Represents distributary occupation across an alluvial fan surface.
- Engagement consistent with avulsive river behavior.
- Not identified as a structurally incised paleovalley of comparable scale.

Structural geology establishes asymmetrical geomorphic predisposition while allowing episodic occupation of multiple corridors.

III. Multi-Corridor Avulsion Model

Phase 1 --- Long-Term Structural Regime (Holocene)

The Dominguez Gap constitutes the primary structural breach for basin drainage, repeatedly occupied over geologic time.

Phase 2 --- Pre-1815 Avulsive Engagement

Within this structural framework, the river likely engaged multiple corridors episodically, including westward distributary alignment across the Ballona surface during particular hydrologic regimes.

This reflects expected behavior in an avulsive fluvial system and does not negate structural predisposition toward Dominguez.

Phase 3 --- Early 19th Century Reorganization

Historical syntheses describe a westward diversion around 1815 and a major avulsion event in 1825. These are treated as interpretive chronologies consistent with avulsive dynamics and structural control---not as primary geological proof.

Phase 4 --- Re-Entrenchment

The 1825 configuration is consistent with renewed occupation of the structurally favored Dominguez corridor.

IV. Terminology Clarification

- "Dominant corridor" does not mean exclusive occupation.
- "Discharge into the marsh-embayment system" does not require proof of a continuously defined harbor-mouth channel.
- "Reoccupation" does not imply uninterrupted prior flow.
- Temporary westward diversion does not eliminate long-term southward geomorphic predisposition.

V. Evidentiary Hierarchy Preserved

This Appendix does not modify the evidentiary hierarchy of the main report, which prioritizes:

1. Structural geology and paleovalley incision.
2. Spanish colonial land-grant geography.
3. Federal sedimentological attribution.
4. Directional expedition documentation.
5. Secondary flood chronologies (corroborative only).

The Ballona Historical Ecology report operates at a different temporal resolution and reconstruction emphasis. When interpreted at appropriate scale, the two frameworks are compatible rather than contradictory.

VI. Integrated Interpretation

Under modern fluvial geomorphology, avulsive rivers may alternate among multiple surface corridors while remaining structurally conditioned by deeper paleovalleys.

Accordingly:

- Episodic Ballona engagement is consistent with avulsive dynamics.
- Persistent Dominguez paleovalley occupation reflects long-term structural drainage control.
- Pre-1815 hydrology is best understood as variable multi-corridor engagement within an asymmetrically predisposed basin.

Scholarly Scope Clarification --- Dark et al. (2011)

Dark et al. (2011), prepared by the San Francisco Estuary Institute, provides a detailed historical ecology of the Ballona Creek watershed. The report is explicitly focused on the late-nineteenth-century landscape (approximately 1850–1890) and relies primarily on documentary sources from that period, including Hall's 1888 irrigation reports. Because of this defined temporal scope, the study does not incorporate the ca. 1880 State Engineer drainage manuscript and does not undertake a systematic reconstruction of pre-1815 Los Angeles River outlet configurations.

Subsequent agency documents, including materials prepared by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, have at times cited Dark et al. (2011) in broader summaries of Los Angeles River history. In certain instances, secondary summaries describe a simplified 1825 transition from a westward Ballona-lagoon alignment to a southward San Pedro alignment "following a period of several years of heavy rains and a series of earthquakes."

Those specific precipitation and seismic characterizations are not developed in Dark et al. (2011), are not substantiated by the cited Reagan (1917) [cited as "Regan" in Dark et

al. (2011)], and are not consistent with independent paleoclimate reconstructions, historical precipitation records, regional seismological catalogs, or contemporary eyewitness accounts. Available climatic evidence indicates drought conditions during portions of the early 1820s, and the regional seismic record documents a discrete 1827 event rather than a documented "series" sufficient to support the narrative formulation that has appeared in later summaries.

Accordingly, while Dark et al. (2011) remains an important and carefully prepared contribution to understanding the late-nineteenth-century Ballona watershed, its temporal bounds and evidentiary base should not be interpreted as providing a comprehensive reconstruction of pre-1815 Los Angeles River outlet behavior. When evaluated within a longer temporal framework incorporating structural geology, sedimentology, land-grant geography, and early documentary evidence, southward occupation toward the Domínguez–harbor sector emerges as a recurring and structurally conditioned component of the river's historical avulsive regime.